

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**APPLICANT:** Jeffrey J. FOLKINS      **GROUP:** 2625  
**APPLICATION:** 10/691,168      **EXAMINER:** K. Williams  
**FILED:** October 22, 2003      **CONFIRMATION:** 6509  
**FOR:** DYNAMIC IDZ PRECESSION IN A MULTI-PASS DIRECT  
MARKING SYSTEM

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

The Information Disclosure Statement submitted herewith is being filed under **37 C.F.R. §1.97(c)** before the mailing date of any of a final action under **§ 1.113**, a notice of allowance under **§1.311**, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and it is accompanied by the fee set forth in **§ 1.17(p)**.

With respect to any item cited herewith directed to the prosecution history or Office Action of a co-pending US Patent Application, this information is being cited as required by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. See Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc., 66 U.S.P.Q.2d 1801 (Fed. Cir. 2003) and McKesson Information Solutions, Inc. v. Bridge Medical, Inc., 487 F.3d 897 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

With respect to any item cited herewith directed to the prosecution history or Office Action of a foreign counterpart of the above-identified application or foreign counterpart of a US Patent Application related to the above-identified application, this information is being cited to meet the duties of disclosure set forth by 37 C.F.R. 1.56.

**The Examiner is respectfully reminded that the placement of the Examiner's initials or a mark representing the Examiner's initialization of the citation adjacent to the citations listed in the attached Information Disclosure Statement is an affirmative indication that the Examiner has performed the Examiner's duties and has considered each initialed document in the same manner as other documents in the**

Office's search files are considered by the Examiner while conducting a search of the prior art in a proper field of search. See MPEP §609.05(b).

Moreover, those citations which have not been considered by the Examiner will have a line drawn through the entire citation and any citations which have been considered by the Examiner will have the Examiner's initials or a mark representing the Examiner's initialization of the citation adjacent thereto.

Lastly, the Examiner is reminded that Section 609.08 of the MPEP sets forth the proper process for electronically noting that a reference has been considered by the Examiner.

More specifically, Section 609.08 of the MPEP states:

#### ELECTRONIC ANNOTATION AND SIGNATURE

The electronic annotation, similar to hand written annotations, will cause the initials of the reviewing examiner to be applied to either: (A) the immediate left of each citation reviewed; or (B) the immediate left of the first of several consecutive citations and the left of the last of the consecutive citations reviewed with a line connecting the initials. Citations that have not been considered will be lined through.

The electronic signature will be in the form /John Q. Examiner/ at the bottom of the last sheet of citations of an IDS. The examiner may elect to electronically sign each sheet of citations considered.

Thus, the Examiner is respectfully requested to process the concurrently filed Information Disclosure Statement in strict accordance with the guidelines and process established by the US Patent Office.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael J. Nickerson', with a stylized, flowing script.

Michael J. Nickerson  
Registration Number: 33,265  
Basch & Nickerson LLP  
1777 Penfield Road  
Penfield, New York 14526  
Telephone: (585) 899-3970  
Customer No. 75931

MJN/mjn